Note: This is the FIRST FORM (step one) to complete for your student project review process.

This document has two pages.

TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY (TCU) - HARRIS COLLEGE OF NURSING AND HEALTH SCIENCES HUMAN VERSUS NON- HUMAN SUBJECTS DECISION CHECKLIST

Studer	nt Name:	Degree Program:		
Faculty	y Project Advisor(s) Name(s):			
Project	t Title:			
departr the TCI review	nent review board level and by Dr. Emily Lund, A U Institutional Review Board (IRB). Note: The N u	per will review all projects as a first step in the full		
Preliminary Questions:				
1.	Is the proposed project a synthesis review e.g., i	ntegrative review, scoping review, systematic review?		
	Yes orNo			
	Is the proposed project a guideline or policy deve component?	elopment/analysis only, with no implementation		
	Yes orNo			
3.	Is the proposed project a secondary data analysi	s?		
	Yes orNo *			

If any of these questions are answered "yes", you may bypass the decision checklist, sign (initial) the form and move on to step two. If you answer "no" to all of the above questions, continue with the secondary checklist. *

Secondary Checklist:

If you answer *False* to **ANY** of the below questions, a review at all levels is necessary (i.e. the department level, Dr. Emily Lund and the university level.) You must complete this checklist, sign it and submit it to the NRB with the **TCU Departmental Protocol Review document-student version (aka the university level IRB protocol document).** Final approval of your project will come from the TCU (university level) IRB.

If you answer *True* to **ALL** of the below questions, you must complete this checklist, sign it and submit it with the **Non-Human Subjects Student Project Application Form** to the NRC. Next, Dr. Lund will review the application. Final approval for your project will come from Dr. Lund.

	Decision Checklist	True	False
1	The purpose of the project is to describe standard care, to determine best practice based upon the evidence, or to use quality improvement or program evaluation to improve or evaluate the practice or process within a particular institution or a specific program.		
2	You are measuring an existing practice that may or may not have been shown effective in the literature.		
3	There are no human subjects measured in the data collection.		
4	You will use a small sample size data set of questions surrounding program evaluation or quality improvement. The data set will be large enough to observe the purpose of the project. There is no need for a power analysis to determine a sample size.		
5	The participant responses will only be collected to evaluate or improve a program in the health setting.;		
6	The data will be analyzed using only descriptive statistics that demonstrate change or graphs that show trends only- NOT inferential statistics to test for significance.		
7	There is NO withholding of any aspect of a standard of care or NO testing of an intervention that is not standard of care (neither consensus-based or evidence-based.)		
8	The project DOES NOT involve a drug or device used outside of usual medical practice, including non-FDA approved agents or the use of any off-label uses of FDA approved drugs/devices.		

I have reviewed the decision checklist and believe my answers to represent the project titled above.
Student initials represent student signature.
I have reviewed the decision checklist with the student and the answers represent the project titled above.
Faculty initials represent faculty chair signature.
Note: Any forgery or intentional misinformation represented in this document can be considered grounds for termination from the University.